

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,599	MORTGAT, DIDIER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Edward Tracy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 December 2005
Time: 10 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 rejection

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Hagle (U.S. Patent 5,207,558)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim one did not have the allowable subject matter which was contained in claims 7 and 16. Additional language added to claim 1 would make it allowable (see examiner's amendment).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)